

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,786	08/29/2003	Dongshan Fu	007291.P029	3402
56920	7590 10/04/2006		EXAMINER	
ACCURAY/BLAKELY			KAO, CHIH	CHENG G
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2882	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Communication	10/652,786	FU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chih-Cheng Glen Kao	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	<u>ıly 2006</u> .					
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-37 and 44-49 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 and 38-43 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 March 2004</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/12/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-20 and 40-43 are withdrawn in view of 35 U.S.C. 101. Rejections are as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-20 and 38-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims are directed toward a computer implemented method involving computational data. The data is generated within a computer without a physical manifestation. Thus, these claims do not produce a result which meet the standard of being concrete, tangible, and useful.

The claims must be for a practical application of the abstract idea, law of nature, or natural phenomenon. See Diehr, 450 U.S. at 187, 209 USPQ at 8 ("application of a law of nature or mathematical formula to a known structure or process may well be deserving of patent protection") and Benson, 409 U.S. at 71, 175 USPQ at 676 (rejecting formula claim because it "has no substantial practical application").

To satisfy section 101 requirements, the claim must be for a practical application of the 101 judicial exception, which can be identified in various ways:

Application/Control Number: 10/652,786

Art Unit: 2882

1) The claimed invention "transforms" an article of physical object to a different state or

thing.

2) The claimed invention otherwise produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result,

Page 3

based on the factors discussed in MPEP 2106. See also:

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/guidelines101 20051026.pdf.

The manipulation of data for determining a difference in images is performed by the

computer implementing programs and is therefore nonstatutory subject matter. Manipulation of

data does not include a physical transformation outside of a computer or representation thereof.

A process consisting solely of computer operations does not manipulate appropriate subject

matter, is not deemed to be concrete, tangible, and useful, and is therefore non-statutory.

An example which would make the instant method steps of claims 1-20 statutory would

be to include a step of correcting patient position or aligning a radiation beam based on the

determined value of said in-plane transformation parameters (x,y,θ) and said out-of-plane

rotational parameters (r, ϕ) . An example which would make the instant method steps of claims

38-43 statutory would be to include a step of correcting patient position or aligning a radiation

beam based on the determined difference between the present orientation of the target volume

and the pervious orientation of the target volume. Hence, the data would become concrete,

tangible, and useful.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 21-37 and 44-49 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the

indication of allowable subject matter.

- Regarding claim 21, prior art fails to disclose or fairly suggest a system for registering at least one 2D radiographic image of a target with at least one image reconstructed from previously generated 3D scan data of said target, including software for determining a set of inplane transformation parameters (x,y,θ) and out-of-plane rotational parameters (r,ϕ) , said inplane and out-of-plane parameters representing a difference in a position of the target as shown in said radiographic image as compared to the position of the target as shown by a 2D reconstructed image, wherein said software comprises means for performing a 3D multi-level matching to determine an initial estimate for said in-plane transformation parameters (x,y,θ) , in combination with all the limitations in the claim. Claims 26-37 contain allowable subject matter by virtue of their dependency.
- 5. Regarding claim 44, prior art fails to disclose or fairly suggest a system, including a controller coupled with a radiation source, imaging system, and a 3D scan data generator, the controller configured to determine a difference between a present orientation of a target volume and a previous orientation of the target volume in three translational coordinates and three rotational coordinates by comparing in-plane transformation parameters and out-of-plane rotation parameters of 2D radiographic images and reconstructed 2D reference images in a first image plane and a second image plane, wherein to determine said difference the controller is configured to search the in-plane transformation parameters in the first image plane and the second image plane using a first similarity measure between the 2D radiographic images and the reconstructed 2D reference images in a 3-dimensional multi-level search, in combination with all

Application/Control Number: 10/652,786

Art Unit: 2882

the limitations in the claim. Claims 45-49 contain allowable subject matter by virtue of their

dependency.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 and 38-43 have been considered but

are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chih-Cheng Glen Kao whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2492. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (9 am to 5 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

gk

EDWARD J. GLICK

Page 5